



Authorization Issues for LCG

Simple use case

- ① Production manager needs a special queue and extra storage space
- ① "almost": the components can implement the use case, but only with slight modifications
 - who will do the modifications (EDG -> EGEE transition)
 - who co-ordinates the development (LCG Security or Deployment?)
- ① we should walk through this use case from the idea of having the "production" group in a VO to the actual mapping to a Unix group (if that happens?) at the site/resource



Authz issues

Site authorization issues, which can be standardized

- callout format
- module interface (LCAS modules?)
- wire protocol to the site local authz service
- discovery of the mappings (VO attr -> Unix group)

Alternatives, with slightly different goals

- LCAS/LCMAPS – rules based authz/mapping
- SAZ, LRAS – DB based mapping
- GUMS – DB based mapping

Other components

- *GACL*
 - will be merged into GridSite - concerns from NorduGrid
 - used in many EDG services as a library (L&B, SE, LCAS)
- *edg-java-security* - for Java services
- *VOMS* - couple of new features
 - default semantics for rules (driven by use case)
 - Attribute Certificate format
 - FQAN - common naming scheme across the components
-



Single VO

- Concerns about users actually using the multi VO possibilities
- VOMS client should make it "hard" to request multiple creds
- site/resource authorization modules should be able to restrict usage to a single VO

VO Policy

- VO has to publish its wishes/requirements to the sites
- simple attr list or LCAS configuration?
- way of merging various VO policies at the sites
- "translating" VO policy for the site authorization tools
-
- Extra questions (e.g. what happens with the files):
 - how to handle group delete?
 - how to handle group rename?